

PROJECT 10073 RECORD

1. DATE - TIME GROUP 13 October 65 13/1721Z	2. LOCATION Sceaux (Seine), France
3. SOURCE Civilian	10. CONCLUSION Astro (METEOR) ✓ Vapor trail and duration of two to three seconds might possibly have been a meteor trail at altitude with the reflection of the sun's rays giving a reddish color to the object.
4. NUMBER OF OBJECTS One	11. BRIEF SUMMARY AND ANALYSIS Object was observed somewhat east of Paris at a high altitude. Dusk, with sun setting. Observer first thought of Venus, then looked up again to study the object. The object had disappeared disappeared by that time. At the same spot, the observer was able to observe a long trail of white vapor, thousands of meters in depth and almost perpendicular. The object was of reddish color. The observation lasted no more than three min. Once the cloud of vapor had disappeared, nothing more was visible.
5. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION No more than 3 min.	
6. TYPE OF OBSERVATION Ground-Visual	
7. COURSE Descending	
8. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
9. PHYSICAL EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
Seamus Seane

France

a

Colonel Spaulding
Chief Coal Branch
Office of information

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Sceaux Seine
France

Monsieur le Directeur

Suite à la lettre de
M^r James A Horton de la NASA
Washington je dois vous communiquer
les dessins et renseignements concernant
une observation dite "flying Saucers"
Ne parlant pas anglais je dois vous
communiquer en français, et je crois
qu'il y a aucune difficulté de votre
côté à ce sujet. Dois-je si cela
est possible faire traduire, les termes
techniques à l'Ambassade US Paris -
avant de vous communiquer le dossier

Au plaisir de vous lire
Veuillez m'en dire le Directeur
me salutations Jambourg

5 3 65 15^h
SEINE

*095
POSTES
SP 323

2



«Project Blue Book»
T. DEW
Wright-Patterson
Air Force Base
Dayton Ohio
U.S.A

Tammany - 59 avenue 4 Chem
Seine Seine France

Mrs Hunt/SARVICE/72842/24 Jun 65

25 Jun 65

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reply to your letter concerning unidentified flying objects.

I am enclosing the current report on Project Blue Book, the Air Force project on unidentified flying objects. As this report will show, to date we have received no evidence which indicates the existence of "flying saucers". However, should you still desire to submit your report to us after reading this, it will not be necessary to have a translation made by the ambassador in France.

Sincerely,

JOHN F. STALLING

1 Atch
Blue Book Report

[REDACTED]
Seix, Seine, France

HEADQUARTERS
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO



REPLY TO
ATTN OF: TDEW

SUBJECT: Request for UFO Information (Lambany, R)

30 Mar 65


TO: Hq USAF SAFOI-CC (Mrs Hunt)
Wash D C 20330

1. The attached letter from Mr. [REDACTED] in French, was translated verbally. Mr. [REDACTED] designed a flying saucer based upon one of his observations of such a machine. He has written a document giving the design of this machine. He desires to know if he should give it to the United States Ambassador in France for translation, of the scientific terms he uses.
2. It is suggested that a Fact Sheet together with a statement that there is no evidence to indicate the existence of flying saucers, as such, have been brought to the attention of the United States Air Force in more than 9000 reports submitted to them. Should [REDACTED] desire to submit his report to us, it is not necessary that translation be made by the Ambassador in France.

FOR THE COMMANDER

Eric T. de Jonckheere, Maj.
ERIC T de JONCKHEERE
Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology
and Subsystems

1 Atch
a/s



YOU - THE NUCLEUS OF SECURITY!

DEC 1 1965

TDEW/UFO

UFO Sighting

Hq USAF SAFOICC (Mrs Hunt)

1. Reference the attached unidentified object report from Mr. [REDACTED]. The previous letter from Mr. [REDACTED] was answered on 30 March 1965. At that time he was forwarded a fact sheet. Mr. [REDACTED] observed an object on the night of 13 October 1965, which he believed to be the separation of a satellite booster and a subsequent passage of a satellite in orbit. Satellites launched from the United States normally would not have their boosters separate over France. A period of one hour and eight minutes is considerably less than that of orbiting satellites. The description based on translation of his letter is not consistent with a satellite launch. However, the vapor trail and duration of two to three seconds might possibly have been a meteor trail at altitude with the reflection of the sun's rays giving a reddish color to the object.

2. Information received from SPADATS indicates that there were no satellites or space debris entering the atmosphere in a state of decay on 16 August.

FOR THE COMMANDER

DeJ
ERIC T de JONCKHEERE, Colonel, USAF
Deputy for Technology and Subsystems

1 Atch
Ltr [REDACTED]

ORIGINATOR *M. Quintanilla, Jr*
TDEW/UFO Maj H Quintanilla, Jr

DATED *30 Nov 65*

TDEW *Louis De Roze 30 Nov 65*

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Office of Record

TDEW/UFO

France
16 Oct 65

Lt Col John Spaulding USAF
Chief, Civil Branch, Community Relations Division
Office of Information

I would like to inform you about a recent observation made on 13 October 1965. If this does not interest you, please let me know, so as not to trouble you unnecessarily. Approximately a month ago I sent you an interesting file - an old observation pertaining to an unidentified object called a flying saucer. Did you receive this material?

It was the 13th of October 1965. I was at Fontenay aux Roses, south of Paris. I casually looked up to the sky and my attention was drawn to an extremely bright star almost directly above Paris, somewhat east of it. (It is, of course, difficult to evaluate the perpendicular of a thing that is at the high altitude.) This seemed abnormal to me. It was still daytime, even though the sun was setting. The thought of Venus crossed my mind, then my attention was detracted perhaps for two or three seconds. I looked up again to study this mystery. The star had disappeared. It was 18:21 o'clock. At the same spot, I was able to observe a long trail of white vapor thousands of meters in depth and almost perpendicular, although oriented northwards. Within this condensation I observed numerous things, one of which appeared to be important [large?] (sketch attached), [it was?] of a reddish color. The observation could have lasted no more than three minutes. Once the cloud of vapor had dispersed, nothing more was visible. Therefore, I think I witnessed the disintegration of a missile stage, because undoubtedly a satellite would not leave that large a trail. I only regret very much that I missed the moment "O" of this disintegration. I believe it was a stage of the USAF [a USAF missile]. This seems to be confirmed [by the fact that] approximately 1 hour 8 minutes later, that is, approximately 19:28 hrs, when I looked up again, a satellite passed from west to east, as it is often seen, and practically perpendicular to the preceding thing.

Unless I was under an illusion, could you tell me at what altitude this disintegration took place, that is, the encounter of the object with the upper atmosphere?

Is it possible that nothing is left upon arrival on the ground because these certain losses are voluminous - does it volatilize [sic] in the air? [Sense of sentence not clear.]

So far, neither the newspapers nor the radio have mentioned the fall of the object. I have not mentioned the observation either - I might be considered deranged.

Perhaps some of the residue was spread over the fields or woods and will be located one day without it then being possible to determine their origin.

Hoping that you are interested, I am attaching an approximate sketch.

Hope to hear from you,

Yours very truly,

[signed] 

Please answer in French, if possible.

[See sketch on back page]

Samuel le 16 octobre 1965

59

Seamen Seine
France

Monsieur John Spaulding
Lt Colonel US AF
Chief civil Branch
Community Relations Division
Office of information

3 feuilles

Je me permets de vous faire part
d'une observation récente du 13 octobre 1965
sur de telle témoignage ne vous intéresse pas
je vous serais reconnaissant de me le faire sa-
voir afin de ne pas vous déranger inutilement
D'autre part je vous ai fait parvenir il
y a un mois environ un dossier intéressant
une observation ancienne, concernant un
objet non identifié, dit "soucoupe volante"
avez vous reçu ce dossier.

Je vous remercie donc le 13 octobre
1965, je suis à Fortenay aux Roses au
1 d. de Paris. Je vous en remercie.

ciel, c'est alors que mon attention se
porte sur une étoile extrêmement brillante
presque à la verticale de Paris mais plus à l'est
de cette ville. « Il est d'ailleurs difficile sans un spectroscope
d'évaluer la verticale d'une chose à haute altitude »
cela me paraissant anormal, et est encore pour
bien que le soleil est couchant, je pense un
moment à Vénus, puis mon attention est détournée
peut être d'un ou trois secondes, je lève la
tête à nouveau pour approfondir ce mystère
l'étoile avait disparu, il était 18^h 21 -
à la même place je puis observer un
long panache de vapeur blanche représen-
tant des milliers de mètres en profondeur
et presque verticale bien qu'orientée vers
le nord - sur ce parcours de condensation
de nombreuses choses dont une paraissant
importante (dessin joint) de couleur rougeâtre
l'observation a peut être duré au maximum
trois minutes - une fois le nuage
de vapeur dissipé, on ne distingue absolu-
ment plus rien. - Je pense donc - - -

avoir assisté, à la désintégration, d'un
étage de fusée. car un satellite, ne pas-
sant sans doute pas de trajectoire aussi
importante, mon plus grand regret -
est d'avoir manqué le moment 0 de cette
désintégration - je pense qu'il s'agit
d'un étage de l'USAF qui semble
se confirmer, car environ 1^h 8^m plus
tard c'est à dire environ 19428 en sortant
de chez moi. Je levais à nouveau la tête
un satellite celui là passant comme
on en voit souvent d'Ouest en Est
et à peu près à la verticale de la
chose précédente.

Il se me suis pas trompé à mon
d'une illusion. Pourrais me dire l'altitude
à laquelle se fait cette désintégration
c'est à dire la rencontre de l'objet avec
la haute atmosphère.

Est-il possible, qu'il ne reste rien

a l'arrivée du sol, car ces déchets
certains sont volumineux - se volatilisent
à il dans l'air -

A ce jour en effet ni les journaux ni la
radio n'a fait part de chute d'objet
pas plus que de l'observation - Je n'en
parle pas moi-même, on me ferait peut
être enfermer

Il est tout à fait possible que ces restes
se disséminent dans la campagne ou
les bois, et on les rencontre un jour
sans s'en apercevoir d'où il peuvent venir

En espérant vous avoir
entretenu - je vous salue
avec affection -

En espérant vous lire
très bientôt

Très Amicalement

Antoine

P.S. dépendra si possible
de l'été

cette étoile au l'endroit où je
me trouvais, paraissant
fixe, sans doute la chute
était elle verticale

This star from the spot where
I was located seemed fixed,
undoubtedly the fall was
perpendicular

étoile très brillante
je me trouvais au côté
éclairé par la lune
very bright star
I was on the side
illuminated by the sun

Star
sun position
position

Zone d'ionisation, et de désintégration
environ 2 à 3 secondes

zone of ionization and disintegration
approximately 2-3 seconds

zone de condensation
condensation zone

angle of fall
angle de chute
hor. nord est

Spot? Re. point
marque la plus
importante rougeâtre
important reddish

When the cloud of condensation
disappears, it is not
absolutely plus rien de
visible

049510